



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/560,433	04/27/2000	Robert D. Gardos	81866.0023	4314

26021 7590 01/10/2003

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.
500 S. GRAND AVENUE
SUITE 1900
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611

EXAMINER

DINH, KHANH Q

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2155

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/560,433

Applicant(s)
Gardos et al

Examiner
Khanh Dinh

Art Unit
2155



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 6, 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-42 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-35, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schneider US pat. No.6,338,082.

As to claim 1, Schneider discloses a plurality of modifiable domain name records in a database in concurrence with a DNS root server (120' fig.1a), the database storing first and second records corresponding to a first domain name and a second domain name (i.e., addresses of domain names) comprising:

Art Unit: 2155

accepting a command from the client machine (110 fig.1a) to modify the first record and replace it with a modified record (i.e., using browser to modify a domain name, col.5 lines 37-65) (see also fig.1a, abstract, col.8 line 51 to col.9 line 44 and col.10 lines 19-65).

accepting a command from the client machine to apply the modification of the first record to the second record and storing the modified record in place of each of the first and second records in the database (i.e., storing domain names is received as input to a registration service, see fig.1b, 1c, col.10 line 66 to col.12 line 56).

causing the records in the DNS root server to be substantially in agreement with the modified database records (see col.12 line 57 to col.13 line 25 and col.13 line 46 to col.14 line 55).

As to claim 2, Schneider discloses sending the first record for the first domain name and second record for the second domain name, the records associated with the database, to a client machine responsive to a client machine prompt (see fig.2b, col.12 line 57 to col.13 line 25).

As to claims 3 and 4, Schneider further discloses that the steps are performed by a program residing on the web server and includes stored procedures (see col.3 line 11 to col.14 line 65).

Art Unit: 2155

As to claims 5 and 6, Schneider discloses causing the modified records to be stored in an authoritative registry server which is a registrar Whois database (see fig.5c, col.10 lines 19-65 and col.14 lines 21-65).

As to claims 8-11, Schneider discloses the domain record is an IP address, a domain name, a canonical name, a mail exchange and a contact record (see fig.2b, col.10 line 6 to col.11 line 52 and col.12 line 54 to col.13 line 67).

As to claim 12, Schneider discloses the contact record is selected from the group consisting of zone, organization, administrative, billing and technical (see col.4 line 13 to col.5 line 65 and col.12 line 57 to col.13 line 55).

As to claim 13, Schneider discloses the domain record is selected from the group consisting of primary DNS, secondary DNS, DNS mail, refresh time, retry interval, expire time and time to live (i.e., rates, times and expiration dates, see fig.2a, col.4 line 13 to col.5 line 65, col.11 line 8 to col.12 line 56 and col.14 lines 1-65).

As to claim 14, Schneider discloses a plurality of modifiable domain name records in a database in concurrence with a DNS root server (120' fig.1a), generating a graphical user interface

Art Unit: 2155

displaying the domain records related to the database on the display (i.e., using handheld device or PDA) comprising:

accessing the first domain record in the database (see col.8 line 51 to col.9 line 44).

displaying the graphical user interface (GUI) on the display (i.e., inherently from the use of hand held device or PDA, see ccol.9 line 3 to col.10 line 55), the GUI having a field in which the first record is displayed (see also col.16 lines 7-23).

displaying a modified record in the field in response to a user input, storing the modified record in the database in place of the first record and causing the replacement of the first record in the DNS root server with the modified record (see fig.1c, col.11 line 8 to col.12 line 56).

As to claim 15, Schneider discloses the first record is associated with an account name and the step of accessing requires input of the account name to access the record (see fig.2b, col.12 line 14 to col.13 line 45 and col.14 lines 1-55).

As to claims 16 and 17, Schneider discloses the computer system further including a web server, steps are performed by a program residing on the web server and display residing on a client computer that is networked to the computer system through the web server.(see fig.1a, col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 55).

Art Unit: 2155

As to claims 18 and 19, Schneider discloses a plurality of domain records are stored in the database (122 fig.1c) and a plurality of fields (see fig.1b, col.10 line 56 to col.11 line 52).

As to claim 20, Schneider further discloses accessing the second domain record in the database and displaying the second record in a second field of the GUI (*i.e., inherently from the display hand held device or PDA*, see col.9 line 3 to col.10 line 55) and displaying the modified record in the second field in response to a user input, and wherein the step of "storing" further includes storing the modified record in the database in place of the second record, and wherein the step of "causing" further includes causing the replacement of the second record in the DNS root server with the modified record (*i.e., using modifications to process name resolution and registration services*, see col.12 line 57 to col.13 line 55 and col.13 line 46 to col.14 line 55).

Claims 21-28 and 30 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 7-14 and 9 respectively.

As to claims 29 and 31-33, Schneider further discloses a change address view, a welcome screen, a change contact record view and a change mail exchange view (see fig.5c, col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 65 and col.14 line 21 to col.15 line 63).

Art Unit: 2155

As to claim 34, Schneider discloses a program code for using a graphics device in generating a graphical user interface (GUI) on the display device (i.e., using PDA or hand held device, col.9 line 3 to col.10 line 55) for presenting a domain record stored in a domain database containing a plurality of domain records (addresses of domain names), the records in occurrence with records stored in a DNS root server comprising:

a program code for accessing the database and displaying a graphical user interface (GUI) on a display device, the GUI having a field in which the domain name is displayed (i.e., using PDA or hand held device, col.9 line 3 to col.10 line 55) (see fig.1a, abstract, col.8 line 51 to col.9 line 44).

program code for modifying the domain in the field in response to user input (using DNS query message) (i.e., using browser to modify a domain name, see col.5 lines 37-65 and col.10 line 19 to col.11 line 52).

program for replacing the domain record in the database with the modified record (see col.11 line 33 to col.12 line 56).

program code for causing replacement of the domain record stored in the DNS root server with the modified domain record (see col.2 line 57 to col.3 line 25 and col.13 line 46 to col.14 line 55).

As to claim 35, Schneider further discloses accessing the database is a database application program (see fig.4a, col.13 line 26 to col.14 line 55 and col.15 lines 8-62).

Art Unit: 2155

As to claims 38 and 39, Schneider discloses modifying the domain record in the field is a utility program and a common gateway interface (CGI) program (see col.1 line 45 to col.2 line 59 and col.15 lines 8-62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 36, 37 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider US pat. No.6,338,082.

Schneider discloses a parse for parsing text formatted in data application such that Perl (see col.11 lines 9-65 and col.14 line 1 to col.15 line 63) and flat files that updates a Shared Registration System Application program (i.e., using DNS Registration, see fig.2b, col.12 line 14 to col.13 line 67 and col.15 lines 8-62). Schneider does not specifically disclose using other programming language such as PL-SQL, Visual Builder and C program. However, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize either programming language above depending on the choice of computer programmers, and still achieve the same end results.

Art Unit: 2155

Other prior art cited

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Bachman et al. US patent no.6,085,188.
- b. Tout, US patent no.6,182,148.
- c. Mann et al., US apt. No.6,298,341.
- d. Rhoads, US pat. No.6,311,214.

Conclusion

7. Claims 1-42 are rejected.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh, can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone numbers for this group are:

After Final: (703) 746-7239

Official: (703) 746-7239


Non-Official/ Draft: (703) 746-7240

Art Unit: 2155

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U.S.C . Sect.133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.

Khanh Dinh
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2155
12/28/2002


AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100